

Title: **LETHABO POWER STATION
TENDER TECHNICAL
EVALUATION FOR SUPPLY AND
DELIVERY OF HYDROGEN
PLANT CELL STACKS**

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**


Revision: **0**

Total Pages: **8**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by



G. Chauke
Engineering

Date: 10/04/2026.....

Functional Responsibility



D. Sihlangu
**Electrical Engineering
Manager**

Date: 10/04/2026.....

Authorised by



T. Njapha
Engineering Manager

Date: 2026-04-10.....

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	6

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members.....	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 4: TET Member Mandatory Evaluation Responsibilities	6
Table 5: TET Member Qualitative Evaluation Responsibilities	7

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

This document outlines the criteria to evaluate the tenderers that will bid for the supply and delivery of the Hydrogen plant Cell stacks.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The scope of this document is to define the criteria for selecting a technically competent supplier.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document will apply to all appointed resources involved in the evaluation.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-53716726 Technical Scoring Form
- [3] 240-53716712 Technical Evaluation Results

2.2.2 Informative

- [4] N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

N/A

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

All mandatory criteria must be met.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Gerald Vonani Chauke	Senior Electrical Engineer
TET 2	Aandil Silal	Electrical Engineer

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

No Mandatory Technical Evaluation will be done, only qualitative.

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Technical Criteria

All suppliers must achieve a minimum of 70% in the below criteria in order to be evaluated further

Criteria No.	Criteria Description	0%	30%	70%	100%	Weighting
1	Track Record and Experience	0 years' experience	> 1 year experience in Hydrogen production plants with 2 verifiable references	> 3 years' experience in Hydrogen production plants with 3 to 4 verifiable references and provides proof of past contracts.	> 5 years' experience in Hydrogen production plants with 5 or more verifiable references and provides proof of existing contracts	40%
2	Distribution agreement	Does not provide	Provides an agreement letter that does not involve OEM or OEM agent	Provides a signed agreement letter showing the OEM or OEM agent indirectly	Provides a signed agreement letter showing the OEM or OEM agent directly	40%
3	FAT quality assurance plan	Does not provide	Provides generic plan	Provides a plan from previous jobs partially completed	Provides a plan and test results from previous completed jobs	15%

4	Warranty	Does not provide	>2 year	> 3 years	> 6 years	5%
---	----------	------------------	---------	-----------	-----------	----

3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Mandatory Evaluation Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5	TET 6	TET 7	TET 8	TET 9	TET 10
1.	X	X								

Table 4: TET Member Qualitative Evaluation Responsibilities

Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5	TET 6	TET 7	TET 8	TET 9	TET 10
1	X	X								
2	X	X								
3	X	X								

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.